



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,366	01/30/2002	Robert Kay	5600-2	3751
7590 04/19/2005			EXAMINER	
John V Moriarty			SILBERMANN, JOANNE	
Woodard Emha	rdt Naughton Moriarty	& McNett		
111 Monument Circle Suite 3700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Indianapolis, IN 46204			3611	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/914,366	KAY, ROBERT		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Joanne Silbermann	3611		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 January 2005</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 3-15 and 17-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-15 and 17-39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	on Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
•••					
Attachment 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) D Notic 3) D Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

Application/Control Number: 09/914,366 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 3-13 and 15-39 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Lande et al. US #6,665,643. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3-15 and 17-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morton in view of Lande et al.
- 4. Morton teaches a novelty device having a lenticular image (column 2 line 32) for providing a display that is synchronized with audio data (column 7 line 21). The drive signal and the sound signal coincide so as to provide the observer with audio that is synchronized to the moving image.
- 5. Movement of the lenticular image may be cyclical (column 5 line 1).

 Synchronization is ensured by having the audio unit generate signals that are used to drive the motor (preferably a stepper motor) to move the image (column 7 lines 53-67).
- 6. Morton does not specifically teach the drive signal being derived from the sound signal or the image as being a character having a mouth that opens and closes, however these are well known in the art. Lande teaches a model of an animated

Art Unit: 3611

human face driven by an audio signal. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the method and apparatus of Lande in a lenticular display (as in Morton) so that a realistic looking display may be created (as discussed by Lande, column 1 lines 37-38).

- 7. Morton (and Lande) do not specifically describe the motion of the image repeating for each syllable, etc. however this is considered to be an inherent feature since Morton teaches synchronization between the image and the sound. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to repeat the animation as necessary, or ramp the signal or use a stepped signal to achieve synchronization.
- 8. Morton teaches the lenticular image as being changeable (column 8 line 1).
- 9. Morton (and Lande) do not teach a scrolling web for providing the images, however this is considered to be an equivalent alternative to the images shown.
- 10. Morton (and Lande) also do not teach using a mobile telecommunication device, however use of such devices for downloading sound samples is old and well known in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,366 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joanne Silbermanr Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611